

Some peculiarities of transition from discrete to continuum Fourier series in lattice theories

Vladimir K. Petrov*

*N. N. Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine
252143 Kiev, Ukraine. 11.12.2002*

Abstract

Transition from discrete to continuous Fourier series is studied for the functions becoming singular in the transition. Conditions are specified when summing replacement by integration is inadmissible.

1 Introduction

Fourier series in discrete variables, or discrete Fourier series, is a routine instrument of computation on finite lattices with cyclic border conditions. Quite often it becomes necessary to remove lattice regularization with lattice length N approaching infinity. Ordinarily lattice theory operates with regular functions. However, these functions may include terms which, in fact, play the role of a regularization parameter. In other words, even when such functions are analytical in the whole physical region for any finite N , the transition to $N \rightarrow \infty$ may convert regular functions into distributions. For the function with such nonstandard behavior, ordinary replacement of summing by integration for $N \rightarrow \infty$ may appear inadmissible.

In this paper we focus our attention on a frequently occurring case, when such “regularization” terms are inversely proportional to the lattice length. The conditions are specified when such replacement may or may not be done

*E-mail address: vkpetrov@yandex.ru

and instances are discussed, when this replacement is definitely erroneous and relevant corrections are needed. We also suggest two different methods for correction computation.

2 Some Remarks on Discrete Fourier Series

In the previous work [1] we considered the consequences of cyclization procedure

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F(\varphi + 2\pi n) \quad (1)$$

application to the function $F(\varphi)$ of the continuous variable φ . Similar procedure may be applied to the function F_k of a discrete variable $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. We assume that F_k is a tempered function, i.e. that there exists a constant $c < \infty$ so that

$$|F_k| < |k|^c. \quad (2)$$

for $|k| \rightarrow \infty$

To simplify the problem, we associate F_k with some periodic distribution

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \tilde{F}_+(\varphi + i\varepsilon) - \tilde{F}_-(\varphi - i\varepsilon) \quad (3)$$

where ε is a routine positive infinitesimal parameter and $\tilde{F}_{\pm}(\varphi)$ are analytical functions in upper/lower complex half-plane φ

$$\tilde{F}_+(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k \exp\{ik\varphi\}; \quad \tilde{F}_-(\varphi) = - \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} F_k \exp\{ik\varphi\} \quad (4)$$

Bearing in mind that a distribution is tempered, if and only if it is a finite order derivative of some continuous tempered function and that any tempered distribution may be presented in the form (3) (see e.g. [2, 3, 4]). Moreover, every periodic distribution is tempered [5].

As it is seen from (4) the expression (3) coincides with an Abel-Poisson regularization of Fourier series

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \text{reg} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k e^{i\varphi k} \equiv \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_k e^{i\varphi k - \varepsilon|k|} \quad (5)$$

Since Fourier coefficients F_k in (5) coincide with the considered discrete function, they are related to $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ by the standard equation

$$F_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi+\sigma}^{\pi+\sigma} \tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp\{-i\varphi k\} d\varphi \quad (6)$$

where an arbitrary real number σ is usually chosen as $\sigma = 0$ or $\sigma = \pi$ for convenience reasons.

Now one can easily check that applying cyclization procedure to F_k in (6) with the period N

$$\tilde{F}_k = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{k+vN}. \quad (7)$$

and making allowance of the Poisson relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{ik\phi} \equiv 2\pi \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\phi - 2\pi r) \quad (8)$$

one gets

$$\tilde{F}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi+\sigma}^{\pi+\sigma} \tilde{F}(\varphi) \delta\left(\varphi - \frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) \exp\{-i\varphi k\} d\varphi \quad (9)$$

From (9) we see that a sufficient condition for $\tilde{F}_k$ to exist is the existence of the product of distributions $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ and $\delta(\varphi N - 2\pi n)$. Unfortunately, for an arbitrary distribution (in our case for the arbitrary $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$) such product is not defined [6, 3, 4], which requires a more detailed consideration.

It is known that Dirac δ -function is rigorously defined as functional $\langle \delta(\varphi), \phi(\varphi) \rangle = \phi(0)$ on the space C^0 of continuous functions $\phi(\varphi) \in C^0$ [4]. Therefore, if $\tilde{F}(\varphi) \in C^0$ then $\tilde{F}(\varphi) \phi(\varphi) \in C^0$, i.e. $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is a multiplier in C^0 so for such $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ the considered product is well-defined.

It is hardly worth mentioning that since $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is given by the Fourier series (5) this function is not absolutely arbitrary and had to obey specific conditions. However, even regarded as too restrictive, Dirichlet conditions require function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ only to be piecewise monotonic, piecewise differentiable and bounded for $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ (see e.g. [7]). Under given conditions Fourier series (5) converge to $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ at all points of $[0, 2\pi]$, but at some points φ_ν of this segment it may have first order discontinuity. Despite the fact that

$\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is defined in all these points as $\tilde{F}(\varphi_\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{F}(\varphi_\nu - 0) + \tilde{F}(\varphi_\nu + 0) \right)$ it is no remedy for the discontinuity. Therefore, when such discontinuity points coincide with $\varphi = 2\pi n/N$, special consideration is necessary. The distribution theory allows to consider even more singular function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$.

If $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is singular, then function $\tilde{F}_k$ defined in (9) may appear to be nonperiodic, i.e. $\tilde{F}_k \neq \tilde{F}_{k+N}$. This case is very similar to one considered in [1] where the procedure (1) was applied to a function, the Fourier integral of which has singularity. Therefore we confine ourselves to a simple case and take an example when $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ has the simple pole

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{1 - \exp \{i\varphi\}} \quad (10)$$

From (9) we get

$$\tilde{F}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{1 - \exp \{i\varphi\}} \delta \left(\varphi - \frac{2n\pi}{N} \right) \exp \{-i\varphi k\} d\varphi \quad (11)$$

Without loss of generality we may take N as an odd number

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_k &= \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\exp \{-i\varphi k\}}{-i + \frac{1}{2}\varphi + \frac{1}{6}i\varphi^2 + O(\varphi^3)} \frac{1}{\varphi} \delta(\varphi) d\varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \left(\frac{\exp \{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}k\}}{1 - \exp \{-i\frac{2n\pi}{N}\}} + \frac{\exp \{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}k\}}{1 - \exp \{i\frac{2n\pi}{N}\}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Making allowance of the fact that for any function $f(\varphi)$ regular and nonvanishing in the vicinity of $\varphi = 0$ we may write

$$f(\varphi) \frac{1}{\varphi} \delta(\varphi) = -f(\varphi) \delta'(\varphi) = f'(0) \delta(\varphi), \quad (13)$$

we easily get from (12)

$$\tilde{F}_k = \frac{2k+1}{N} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\frac{N-1}{2}} \frac{\cos \frac{2\pi nk}{N} - \cos \frac{2\pi n(1-k)}{N}}{2 \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{N}} \quad (14)$$

It is evident that the second term in the right part of the equality (14) is invariant under substitution $k \rightarrow k + N$. Such term appears as the sum

of contributions of the points $\varphi = 2\pi n/N$; $n \neq 0$ the first term being the contribution of the pole of function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ at $\varphi = 0$ (i.e. $n = 0$). This term is evidently nonperiodic.

When function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is bounded at all $\varphi = 2\pi n/N$ and continuous in the vicinities of those points, we regard that the sufficient condition for $\tilde{F}_k$ to be periodic is fulfilled. Indeed, from (9) we obtain

$$\tilde{F}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) \exp\left\{i\frac{2\pi n}{N}k\right\} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, since $\tilde{F}_k$ is presented as the finite Fourier series it is an evidently periodic function with the period N . It is clear that $\tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right)$, as a function of discrete variable n , is periodic with the same period by definition.

Making allowance of the simple identity

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \exp\left\{-i\frac{2\pi k}{N}n\right\} = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_n^{vN} \quad (16)$$

one may write the transform inverse to (15)

$$\tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tilde{F}_k \exp\left\{-i\frac{2\pi n}{N}k\right\} \quad (17)$$

We see that (17) is nothing but the discrete version of Fourier series (5), as well as (15) is the discrete version of (6).

It is clear that the above condition on $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ may be related to the convergence of series (5) or rather to the behavior F_k for $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$ that, in its turn, is related to the convergence of series in (7). For instance, necessary conditions on $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ are fulfilled when series (5) is uniformly convergent on a closed segment $-\pi \leq \varphi \leq \pi$ (see e.g. [7]).

However, one may impose on F_k a weaker restriction and consider $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ which has a limited variation, i.e. such functions which for arbitrary partition $-\pi \leq \varphi_v < \varphi_{v+1} \leq \pi$ and arbitrary N obey

$$\sum_{v=0}^{N-1} \left| \tilde{F}(\varphi_v) - \tilde{F}(\varphi_{v+1}) \right| < M. \quad (18)$$

for some $M < \infty$.

A function with a limited variation in a segment is obviously bounded on it. Moreover, as it is shown by N.Weiner (see e.g. [8]), such function is continuous, if (and only if)

$$\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=-K}^K |k F_k| = 0. \quad (19)$$

This condition, however, may be regarded as too restrictive as well, just for that very reason that it claims 'good' behavior of $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ for all φ , whereas it is actually needed only at infinitesimal vicinities of $\varphi = 2\pi n/N$. Hence there is no reason to expect that each particular $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ that is presented as discrete Fourier series (17) obligatory obeys such conditions.

3 Regularization Removal and Transition to Continuum

The discrete Fourier series (15) and (17) are commonly used in lattice theories with periodic boundary conditions. Quite often one needs to apply a procedure inverse to (7), which involves the removal of the lattice regularization and the lattice length N then tends to infinity.

This section considers peculiarities of the transition from discrete to continuous Fourier series with $N \rightarrow \infty$. As it is said above, we are interested in a very particular aspect of such a fundamental problem as transition to continuum in a lattice gauge theory, and namely in the transition to continuum of the discrete Fourier series for functions which are regular at finite N , but convert into distributions with $N \rightarrow \infty$. Naturally, some important issues of transition to continuum are outside the limits of this study, nonetheless, the suggested approach allows, in particular, to compute the fermion contribution on an extremely anisotropic lattice [9].

Let $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ in (15) obey the conditions of Lebesgue theorem, i.e. $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is a bounded function with a set of measure zero discontinuity points. In this case $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is Riemann integrable, i.e. the difference between upper and lower sum Darboux vanishes for arbitrary partition ($-\pi \leq \varphi_\nu < \varphi_{\nu+1} \leq \pi$; $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$) when the number of terms N infinitely increases¹. For $N \rightarrow \infty$ this allows

¹For piecewise-monotonic $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ the sum in (15) decomposes into partial sums over monotonic pieces. Each partial sum coincides either with upper or with lower sum Darboux.

us to make a change in (15)

$$\frac{2\pi n}{N} \rightarrow \varphi; \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi; \quad (20)$$

which transforms (15) into (6).

For any finite value s we may put $s/N \rightarrow 0$. If, however, $s = 0$ is a singular point of function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ we need to preserve the information about the direction in which s/N approach zero in a complex s -plane

$$s/N \rightarrow \varepsilon \exp \{i \arg s\} \quad (21)$$

When, however, a transition for $\tilde{F}_k$ in (17) is of interest, one needs $\tilde{F}_k$ to obey the conditions of Lebesgue theorem. If it is the case, then transition to continuous variable $2\pi k/N \rightarrow \varphi$ may be fulfilled by the following substitution

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi; \quad \tilde{F}_k \rightarrow \tilde{F}(\varphi); \quad \tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) \rightarrow F_n. \quad (22)$$

To estimate the error, introduced by the procedure(20) we apply the Poisson formula to truncated functions

$$\overline{F}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \tilde{F}(\varphi); & 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi; \\ 0; & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

that gives

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp \{-i\varphi kN\} d\varphi \quad (24)$$

so, taking into account the definition (6) we may write

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} F_{kN} = F_0 + \Delta \quad (25)$$

It is clear that the application of procedure (20) to the left part in (25) gives but the term F_0 . Therefore, to obtain an exact result, one had to include the correction Δ which is defined as

$$\Delta = \sum_{k \neq 0} F_{kN} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F_{kN} + F_{-kN}) \quad (26)$$

For Δ to vanish, it is sufficient that for all k

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp \{-i\varphi kN\} \rightarrow 0; \quad N \rightarrow \infty \quad (27)$$

Since kN is an integer number we may rewrite (27) as

$$\tilde{F}(\varphi) \exp \{-i(\varphi - \sigma_0)kN\} \rightarrow 0; \quad N \rightarrow \infty \quad (28)$$

where $\sigma_0 = 2\pi n_0/N$ and n_0 is an arbitrary integer number. In other words, the result of application (20) to left-hand member of (24) coincides with right-hand one only if the condition (28) is satisfied for any σ_0 and all $k \neq 0$.

According to Riemann-Lebesgue lemma (see. e.g. [7]) all F_{kN} in (25) with $k \neq 0$ turn into zero with $N \rightarrow \infty$, if either the integral in (24) converge absolutely for $k = 0$ or $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ has limited variation on $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$.

For singular enough $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$, the conditions of Lebesgue theorem may be violated and procedure (20) transforms the sum into improper integral, which value will depend on the choice of the way singularity is approached. The result of proceeding to limit in expressions like (27) was studied in detail [10, 11]. Various type singularities of $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$, located infinitely near to $\varphi = 0$ were considered. Results obtained in [10, 11] allow to conclude that the condition (28) is satisfied, if $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is regular at infinitesimal vicinities of all $\varphi = 2\pi n/N$, where $n = 0, \dots, N-1$.

Commonly the function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ in (28) doesn't depend on N . In a lattice gauge theory, however, $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ may include small parameters, such as fermion mass term ma , which tends to zero with $N \rightarrow \infty$, provided that inverse temperature $1/T = aN$ remains finite. Actually, it introduces a dependence on N into $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$. Therefore, it is not without interest to consider a case when $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ includes some 'regularization' term which is proportional to $1/N$, i.e. singularity of $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ is located in a complex φ plane at finite distance $\varphi_s \sim i/N$ from the real axis. In this case the increase of exponent oscillations in (28) matches singular point φ_s approaching physical region. In Appendix we suggest a simple recipe to modify the results obtained in [10, 12] for this case.

4 Examples of nonstandard behavior

Let us consider an example of a periodic function with the simple pole

$$\tilde{F}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) = \left(1 - \exp\left\{i\frac{2\pi n}{N} - \frac{\varkappa}{N}\right\}\right)^{-1} \exp\left\{-m\left(i\frac{2\pi n}{N} - \frac{\varkappa}{N}\right)\right\} \quad (29)$$

It is clear that the role of regularization term in (29) is played by $\varkappa/N$, where $\varkappa$ is finite and $\text{Im } \varkappa = 0$.

Writing series expansion

$$\left(1 - e^{\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}}\right)^{-1} = \theta(-\varkappa) + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\theta(\varkappa) e^{r\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}} - \theta(-\varkappa) e^{-r\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}}\right) \quad (30)$$

and collecting coefficients at $r = k$ modulo N , we obtain

$$\left(1 - e^{\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}}\right)^{-1} = \theta(-\varkappa) + \frac{\theta(\varkappa)}{1 - e^{-\varkappa}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{k\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}} - \frac{\theta(-\varkappa)}{1 - e^{\varkappa}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-k\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}}; \quad (31)$$

As it follows from (16) one may write

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi in}{N}(m+k)\right\} = \delta_N^{m+k} (1 - \delta_0^m) + \delta_0^m \delta_0^k \quad (32)$$

for nonnegative integer $m < N$ and $n < N$. Therefore, from (31) we finally get

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(1 - e^{\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}}\right)^{-1} e^{-m\frac{2\pi in - \varkappa}{N}} = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\varkappa}} - \theta(-\varkappa) (1 - \delta_0^m) \quad (33)$$

Proceeding to limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ in (33) is trivial, because the right-hand member doesn't depend on N .

On the other hand, the application of procedure (20) to the left-hand member in (33) gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\exp\{-i\varphi m\}}{1 - \exp\{i\varphi - \varepsilon \text{signum}(\varkappa)\}} d\varphi = \text{signum}(\varkappa) + \theta(-\varkappa) \delta_m^0 \quad (34)$$

We see that the procedure (20) leads to a result which differs essentially from an exact one given in (33). The reason is that the difference between upper and lower sum Darboux computed for $\tilde{F}(2\pi in/N)$ in (33) doesn't vanish for $N \rightarrow \infty$, thus the sum in (33) doesn't convert into Riemannian integral when $N \rightarrow \infty$. It may be anticipated, because Riemannian integral is defined only on bounded function, whereas (33) remain finite only for finite N .

Let us compute now the correction Δ defined in (26). In considered case F_{kN} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{kN} &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\exp\{-i\varphi kN\}}{1 - \exp\{i\varphi - \frac{\varkappa}{N}\}} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \\ &= [\theta(\varkappa)\theta(k) + \theta(-\varkappa)\delta_{kN}^{-m} - \theta(-\varkappa)\theta(-k)] \exp\{-k\varkappa\} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and we easily get

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\varkappa}} - \theta(\varkappa) \quad (36)$$

Thereby it is confirmed that the correction (36) added to (34) allows to obtain the exact result (33).

Applying the differentiation with respect to a parameter $\varkappa$ one may easily repeat the computation for arbitrary rational function of $\exp\{-2\pi in/N\}$. Previously considered case may be easily generalized in another way, if we consider

$$F(\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\varkappa) \frac{1}{1 - \exp\{i\varphi - \frac{\varkappa}{N}\}} d\varkappa \quad (37)$$

where the integral has to be interpreted in the sense of a Cauchy principal value. Making allowance for (33) we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{2\pi in}{N}m\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\varkappa)}{1 - e^{-\varkappa}} d\varkappa - (1 - \delta_m^0) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(\varkappa) d\varkappa \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, the procedure (20) applied to the left-hand member in (38) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\varkappa) d\varkappa}{1 - \exp\{i\varphi - \varepsilon \operatorname{signum}(\varkappa)\}} \right) e^{-i\varphi m} \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} f(\varkappa) d\varkappa - (1 - \delta_m^0) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(\varkappa) d\varkappa \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

which disagrees, as it may be anticipated, with (38).

Let us now consider as an example the periodic function with logarithmic singularity. From ([13]6.1.1(10)) one can easily get

$$\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(1 - \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i n - \varkappa}{N} \right\} \right) = 1 - e^{-\varkappa} \quad (40)$$

that leads to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \ln \left(1 - \exp \left\{ \frac{2\pi i n - \varkappa}{N} \right\} \right) = \ln (1 - e^{-\varkappa}) \quad (41)$$

On the other hand, the procedure (20) gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln (1 - \exp \{i(\varphi + i\varepsilon \operatorname{signum}(\varkappa))\}) d\varphi = 0 \quad (42)$$

that evidently disagree with (41) at finite $\varkappa$.

In some instances the procedure (20) leads to ambiguous results and becomes inadmissible. Indeed, from ([13]4.4.5(7)) one can get

$$Q_N(\varkappa) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{\cos \left(\pi \frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{N} m \right) \sin \left(\pi \frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{N} \right)}{\cos \frac{\varkappa}{N} - \cos \left(\pi \frac{k+\frac{1}{2}}{N} \right)} e^{i\pi k} = \frac{\cos(m\varkappa/N)}{\cos \varkappa}. \quad (43)$$

Hence, one obtains a well defined result for $N \rightarrow \infty$ and any finite m

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} Q_N(\varkappa) \equiv Q(\varkappa) = \frac{1}{\cos \varkappa}. \quad (44)$$

On the contrary, the procedure (20) gives

$$Q(\varkappa) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i\varphi N} \cos \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} m \right) \sin \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} \right)}{\cos(\eta) - \cos \left(\frac{\varphi}{2} \right)} d\varphi \quad (45)$$

where $\eta = \varepsilon \exp \{i \arg \varkappa\}$.

Computation in (45) of $Q(\varkappa)$ leads to an ambiguous result, because, on the one hand, $\exp \{i\varphi N\}$ turns integrand into zero for all φ except the vicinity of $\varphi = 0$, on the other, - the integrand has the pole at $\varphi = 2\eta$ that is located infinitely near to $\varphi = 0$. Therefore, $Q(\varkappa)$ includes the factor $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \{2i\eta N\}$ which cannot be defined unambiguously without additional assumptions.

5 Appendix. Asymptotic Series for Distributions

Here we briefly sketch some of the results obtained in [10] for the asymptotic expansions of $\exp\{\mp i\varphi N\} F(\varphi)$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$ with necessary modifications which we suggest for a case when the regularization term in $F(\varphi)$ is proportional to $1/N$.

As it is known (see e.g. [2]), temperate distribution is defined as functional on infinitely differentiable fast decreasing test functions $\Phi(\varphi)$ i.e. $\lim_{|\varphi| \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi|^m \Phi^{(p)}(\varphi) = 0$; for any $m \geq 0$; $p \geq 0$. As it pointed out in [10], one may write the asymptotic (Poincare) series for the functional defined on any test function $\Phi(\varphi)$

$$T_N^F \equiv \int \exp\{\mp i\varphi N\} F(\varphi) \Phi(\varphi) d\varphi \simeq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_n^{\pm}(N) \int C_n^{\pm}(\varphi) \Phi(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (46)$$

where $\psi_n^{\pm}(x)$ for $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ constitute asymptotic sequences obeying

$$\psi_{n+1}^{\pm}(x) / \psi_n^{\pm}(x) = O(1/x); \quad \frac{\partial \psi_n^{\pm}(x)}{\partial x} / \psi_n^{\pm}(x) = O(1/x) \quad (47)$$

So, differentiating n -times (46), we may get in particular

$$\varphi^n C_n^{\pm}(\varphi) = 0 \quad (48)$$

that means

$$C_n^{\pm}(\varphi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{nk}^{\pm} \delta^{(k)}(\varphi) \quad (49)$$

The simplest form of $C_n^{\pm}(\varphi) \psi_n^{\pm}(N)$ satisfying both (49) and condition (47) is

$$C_n^{\pm}(\varphi) \psi_n^{\pm}(N) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{nk}^{\pm} \psi_n^{\pm}(N) \delta^{(k)}(\varphi) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_{nk}^{\pm}(\ln N) N^{-r-k} \delta^{(k)}(\varphi) \quad (50)$$

where polynomials $P_{nk}^{\pm}(\ln N)$ and constant r are defined by the specific form of $F(\varphi)$.

Bearing in mind that any tempered (not obligatory periodic) distribution may be presented in the form analogous to (3) (see e.g. [4]) where $F_{\pm}(\varphi)$ are analytical functions in upper/lower complex half-plane φ

$$F(\varphi) = F_+(\varphi + i\varepsilon) - F_-(\varphi - i\varepsilon) \quad (51)$$

it can be shown that [10]

$$F_{\pm}(\varphi \pm i\varepsilon) \exp\{\pm i\varphi N\} \sim 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty \quad (52)$$

and

$$F_{\pm}(\varphi \pm i\varepsilon) \exp\{\mp i\varphi N\} \simeq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\pm}(N) \delta^{(n)}(\varphi), \quad N \rightarrow \infty \quad (53)$$

As already mentioned, we are interested in a specific case, when singularity of $F_{\pm}$ is located not at infinitesimal distance $\pm i\varepsilon$ but at finite² one $\pm i\kappa/N$ from the real axes of φ and approaches the real axes only with $N \rightarrow \infty$. Thereby, instead of a definition given in (46) we may write

$$T_N^F \equiv \int \exp\{\mp i\varphi N\} F_{\pm}\left(\varphi \pm i\frac{\kappa}{N}\right) \Phi(\varphi) d\varphi \quad (54)$$

and after a simple shift $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi \mp i(\kappa/N - \varepsilon)$ we obtain

$$T_N^F = \int \exp\left\{\mp i\left(\varphi \mp i\left(\frac{\kappa}{N} - \varepsilon\right)\right)N\right\} F_{\pm}(\varphi \pm i\varepsilon) \Phi\left(\varphi \mp i\left(\frac{\kappa}{N} - \varepsilon\right)\right) d\varphi \quad (55)$$

The test functions $\Phi(\varphi)$ and their derivatives $\Phi^{(n)}(\varphi)$ should not be obligatory analytical, but they are infinitely differentiable. Hence, their Taylor series may be taken as asymptotic one, no matter it is convergent or divergent in the area in question [14]

$$\Phi^{(n)}\left(\varphi \mp i\left(\frac{\kappa}{N} - \varepsilon\right)\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{m!} \Phi^{(n+m)}(\varphi) \left(\mp i\left(\frac{\kappa}{N} - \varepsilon\right)\right)^m + O\left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{N} - \varepsilon\right)^M\right) \quad (56)$$

²In this section we assume $\kappa > 0$.

Taking into account that parameter ε is infinitely small in comparison with $\varkappa/N$ we obtain from (55)

$$T_N^F = e^{-\varkappa} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} C_n^{\pm}(N) (-1)^n \frac{\Phi^{(n+m)}(0)}{m!} \left(\mp \frac{i\varkappa}{N} \right)^m + O\left(\frac{\varkappa^M}{N^M}\right) \quad (57)$$

and for $N \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$T_N^F = e^{-\varkappa} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\pm}(N) (-1)^n \Phi^{(n)}(0) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\varkappa}{N}\right) \right) \quad (58)$$

or

$$\exp\{\mp i\varphi N\} F_{\pm} \left(\varphi \pm i \frac{\varkappa}{N} \right) \simeq \exp\{-\varkappa\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\pm}(N) \delta^{(n)}(\varphi) \quad (59)$$

that with (53) and (52) gives after change $N \rightarrow kN$; $\varkappa \rightarrow k\varkappa$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp\{\mp i\varphi kN\} F_{\pm} \left(\varphi \pm i \frac{k\varkappa}{N} \right) \\ & \simeq \begin{cases} \exp\{-k\varkappa\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\pm}(kN) \delta^{(n)}(\varphi) & \text{for } k > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } k < 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

We see that correction Δ in (25) may be written in a form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-\varkappa k\} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{\pm}(kN) \delta^{(n)}(\varphi - \sigma_0) d\varphi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-\varkappa k\} C_0^{\pm}(kN) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

As it is seen from (61) the correction Δ is presented as a series which is a discrete version of Laplace transform, called Z - transformation (see e.g. [15]). Since we consider $\varkappa/N \rightarrow +0$, coefficients $C_0^{\pm}(x)$ in this series are the same as those computed for the asymptotic expansions in [12]. It should be noted, that distributions studied in [12] are nonperiodic. Hence, if direct application of the method developed in [12] to the periodic distribution under consideration is technically difficult, it may be convenient to apply the cyclization procedure considered in [1] to those distributions that have already been studied in [12].

6 Conclusions

Some particularities of the transition from discrete to continuous Fourier series in a limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ are discussed. A case is studied when the regularization parameter is proportional to $1/N$ and the considered functions are analytical for $N < \infty$, but they are converted into generalized functions or distributions when regularization is removed, i.e. $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Some simple and rather general examples of functions with 'nonstandard' behavior were presented to clarify the conditions under which ordinary replacement of summing by integration is inadmissible and the corresponding corrections Δ were computed. It is also pointed out that when the periodic function $\tilde{F}(\varphi)$ obeys the conditions of Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, the corresponding correction turns into zero.

We suggested a modification of asymptotic series expansions proposed in [10, 11] for a case with regularization parameter proportional to $1/N$ and applied the modified series for the computation of the correction Δ .

References

- [1] V.K. Petrov, 'Some remarks on cyclization and periodicity', hep-lat/0212016.
- [2] I.M. Gelfand and G.E. Shilov. 'Generalized functions', Vol. 1: Properties and Operations, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958, New York: Harcourt Brace, 1977.
- [3] Bremermann, H. 'Distributions, complex variables and Fourier transforms', Addison-Wesley 1965.
- [4] V. S. Vladimirov, 'Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics'. Moscow: Nauka, 1976.
- [5] W.F. Donoghue, 'Distributions and Fourier transforms', Academic Press, NY 1969.
- [6] L.Schwartz, 'Methodes Mathematiques pour les sciences physiques', Hermann 1961. 'Mathematics for the Physical Sciences'. Addison-Wesley, 1966, 'Theory of Distributions', Hermann, 1957.

- [7] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, 'A Course of Modern Analysis': an Introduction to the General Theory of Infinite Processes and of Analytic Function,' Cambridge Univ. Press, 1927.
- [8] R.E. Edwards, Fourier series. A modern introduction', Springer - Verlag, 1979.
- [9] V.K. Petrov, 'A simple model for investigating the chiral condensate behavior at finite temperatures on a lattice with maximal anisotropy', Theor.Math.Phys.121:1628-1640,1999.
- [10] Yu.A. Brychkov, Teor.Mat.Fiz.5(1970)98; 15(1973)375; ; 23(1975)191.
- [11] Yu.A. Brychkov and Yu.M. Shirokiv, Teor.Mat.Fiz.4(1970)301.
- [12] Yu.A. Brychkov, A.P. Prudnikov 'Integral transforms of generalized functions', "Nauka", Moscow 1977.
- [13] A.P. Prudnikov, Yu.A. Brychkov, O.I. Marichev. 'Integrals and Series'. Gordon and Breach, 1986.
- [14] M.V. Fedorjuk, 'Asymptotic: Integrals and Series', Nauka(Moscow)1987.
- [15] G. Doetsch, 'Guide to the Applications of the Laplace and Zeta Transforms'. Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1971.